DRAFT

ASSUMPTIONS FOR THE INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE COST ESTIMATE

APRIL 1996

The following document contains the assumptions necessary to develop representative costs to deploy an Intelligent Transportation Infrastructure (ITI) across the United States. Some elements (i.e., surveillance, communication) do not lend themselves to a one-to-one correspondence with the nine intelligent transportation infrastructure areas but are listed under the most logical areas. To obtain the cost figures, information from systems in Texas, Virginia, Massachusetts, Washington, Georgia, Minnesota, Maryland, Delaware and California was gathered and discussions with experts in the area of traffic management systems were held. In the attached spreadsheet, the cost for deploying various ITS strategies nationwide is also estimated. The costs are a "worst case scenario" (unless otherwise noted) and reflect areas that are assumed to have no existing infrastructure. In this manner, areas with an existing infrastructure may scale back their costs accordingly. The general assumptions for each size (large, medium, and small) of metropolitan system follow.

Before the assumptions are discussed, it should be mentioned that technology for traffic management strategies is in a state of continual advancement. As technological advancements are made, technologies which were once considered state-of-the-art will be considered state-of-the-practice, and competition will adjust the costs accordingly. For example, as the use of non-intrusive detection methods (i.e., video image processing, acoustic detection, infrared technology) increases, the use of pavement loop detectors will decrease. This document represents state-of-the practice technologies (and their associated costs) which could instrument an infrastructure of ITS technologies if they were procured and deployed in 1996.

DEFINITIONS

Capital costs refer to the one-time procurement cost of the elements.

Operations and Maintenance costs are annual costs associated with operating and maintaining the necessary elements. Personnel costs are listed separately and are not included under O&M. Operations and Maintenance is assumed to be 5% of the capital costs, unless otherwise recorded, and does not include personnel costs. Maintenance work for surveillance, traveler information, communication, and transportation management centers is done by the same operations and maintenance personnel.

LARGE METROPOLITAN SYSTEM

The large metropolitan area will be the size of Detroit, Michigan with 400 miles of freeway assumed. Interchanges are at 1- mile spacings with all ramps metered. There are 4 lanes in each direction on the large metropolitan area's freeways. There are 12 approach lanes for each signalized intersection. There are assumed to be 2500 signalized intersections. Five additional TMCs (6 total) were included in the costs. For the purposes of this document, metropolitan statistical areas with populations, over 750,000 were assumed as large.

ITI Toolbox

ITI TOOLBOX





MEDIUM METROPOLITAN SYSTEM

The medium metropolitan area will be the size of Knoxville, Tennessee with 300 miles of freeway assumed. Interchanges are at 1-mile spacings with all ramps metered. There are 3 lanes in each direction on the medium metropolitan area's freeways. There are 10 approaches per signalized intersection, and 1500 signalized intersections are assumed. Three additional TMCs (4 total) were included in the costs. For the purposes of this document, metropolitan statistical areas with populations between 200,000 - 750,000 were assumed as medium.

SMALL METROPOLITAN SYSTEM

The small area is the size of Cheyenne, Wyoming with 50 miles of freeway assumed. Interchanges are at 2-mile spacings with no ramps metered. There are 2 lanes in each direction on the small freeways. There are 10 approach lanes for each signalized intersection, and 50 signalized intersections are assumed. For the purposes of this document, metropolitan statistical areas with populations between 50,000 - 200,000 were assumed as small.

GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS

- Freeway mileage is given in centerline miles.
- One center each was assumed for traveler information, emergency management, and transit management. In actuality, some areas may co-locate their facilities.

Computers

The elements under computers include video switches, graphical user interfaces, high capacity storage, cable television access, audio interface, computer monitors, video monitors, video cassette recorder and workstations. The costs for the medium, and small, metropolitan areas were scaled down to 0.8 and 0.7, respectively, of the cost of a large system's computer needs.

Software for the various centers is as follows:

Transportation Management Center (Highway Advisory Radio library, traffic management, automated traffic control, HOV management, lane management, CMS library)
Traveler Information Center (route planning, traffic measurement, data fusion)
Transit Management Center (ride share, transit scheduling, dispatch and fleet management)
Emergency Management Center (emergency management, vehicle tracking)

Communications

This includes the communications equipment internal to the facility such as equipment racks, Sonet System, mulitplexers, modems, etc.

Facilities

The facility costs were based on purchasing as opposed to leasing space. A building of 23,000 square feet was assumed in the costs for a large system. The costs were scaled accordingly to 0.8 for medium and 0.7 for small. Some of the centers may be co-located.



Field Hardware

- CCTV is at every mile of freeway and at 1/10th of the signalized intersections (trouble spots).
- Environmental Sensors detect road conditions (ice, fog, precipitation, pumping stations, tunnel ventilation, etc.)
- HOV Lane Monitoring and control include the gates and hardware.
- Loop detectors are placed at half-mile spacings on the freeways across all lanes. They are also placed at every approach fane of signalized intersections and at intermediate locations.
- Call boxes are spaced at half-mile intervals in each direction.
- Video image processing (VIPS) is used at 1/10th of the signalized intersections for the large and medium metropolitan areas.
- Fiber-Optic cable costs include trenching, conduit, installation, and cable.
- Kiosk costs widely vary, depending on the level of integration with various transportation modes, the level of security required, and the type of installation (wall-mounted, free-standing, indoor, outdoor). A mid-range system was assumed. Capital costs include procurement of the kiosks, alarms, software adjustments, technical assistance. Annual costs include kiosk and software maintenance, training, leased dedicated phone lines, supplies, and software license fees.

Incident Management Equipment

The vehicles mentioned in this section are pickup trucks which have the materials necessary to change tires, direct traffic, make minor repairs, provide nominal amounts of fuel, push vehicles from the road, radio for help, and clean up minor accidents from the roads. They are not heavy-duty towing trucks.

System Design & Integration

The costs for system design and integration were based on a large system. The costs for the medium and small areas were scaled accordingly to 0.8 for medium and 0.7 for a small system.

<u>Other</u>

Under "Road Communication," costs are listed as per intersection. These costs include codecs, leased lines, video switches, and interconnection of signal.

Electronic Toll Collection Systems

For large metropolitan areas, 15 lanes are assumed per toll plaza. For medium and small areas, 10 and 6 lanes are assumed, respectively. Large areas have 20 toll plazas and medium and small have 10 and 2, respectively. It is assumed that 40 percent of the lanes in the large and medium toll plazas use AVI technologies. The small metropolitan areas are assumed not to use AVI technology.



Electronic Fare Payment Systems

The cost of proximity (smart) cards and related detection/communication equipment is not high, relatively speaking. Implementing a system, however, requires an extensive equipment base, communications infrastructure, and data processing center. These cost figures assume that the electronic fare payment system is installed on an existing transit infrastructure.

Software allows the smart cards to be used as a conventional stored value card, an employee pass, a discount value card (student or handicapped), a bus transfer, a bus farecard, and a parking lot farecard. As the use of the smart cards expands, additional software will be required to allow account reconciliation between different transportation providers accepting the same card, expanded control measures for a larger card population base, and specific operational requirements for both new and existing users.





*COST ANALYSIS FOR INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE: LARGE, MEDIUM, AND SMALL METRO AREAS

CAPITAL COST SMALL (\$K)		1,200	2,200	0	160	0		3,560			5,000	40	0	0	250	5,290		0	12.000	200		12,500		34.7	4/0	222	2,800		0	3,496			300	2,800	1,500	0	4 671		238	06	2,800	0	3,128
O&M COST CP SMALL (\$K)		09	110	0	8	0		178			230	2	0	0	13	592		0	009	જ		625		6	* :	= ;	140	nc/	0	926		4	15	140	200	200	1 159		12	κ	140	50	506
CAPITAL COST MEDIUM (\$K)		20,000	000'6	000'9	280	2,000		37,280			15,000	140	1,600	12,000	7,500	36,240		9,000	72,000	15,000		93,000		544	220	2200	3,200		11,760	15,724		29	300	3,200	4,500	0	8 082		272	06	3,200	0	3,562
O&M COST MEDIUM (\$K)		1,000	450	300	4-	100		1,864			750	7	90	009	375	1,812		9009	3,600	750		4,950		- 20	77	- 65	160	000,	4,908	6,306		4	15	160	1,500	1,250	2 929		14	2	160	100	278
CAPITAL CÖST LARGE (\$K)		32,000	13,000	10,000	400	2,500		27,900			50,000	200	2,000	16,000	12,500	50,700		8,000	000'96	25,000		129,000		Cas	000	2000	4,000	0 00	24,500	29,400		201	300	4.000	9,000	0	10 402		340	06	4,000	0	4,430
O&M COST LARGE (\$K)		1,600	920	200	8	125		2,895			1,000	10	100	800	625	2,535		900	4,800	1,250		6,850		76	1.1	- 8	002	000,1	10,223	12,270		n	15	200	2,000	1.500	3 7 20		17	5	200	150	372
UNT COST CAPITAL (\$K)		0.80	20.00	40.00	60.4	250.00					500.00	8.8	8.00	40.00	5.00			2.00	240.00	10.00				00 089	000.000	200.00	4,000.00	00.0	4,900.00			102.00	300 00	4,000 00	30.00	0.00			340.00	90.00	4,000.00	0.00	
UNT COST O&M (\$K)		0.04	1.00	2:00	0.20	12.50					10.00	1.8	8.	2:00	0.25			0.50	12.00	0.50				20.75	30.5	300	80.00	00.00	2,045.00			2	15.00	200 00	10.00	20.00			17 00	4 50	200 00	90.00	
GUAN		1,500	110	0	40	0					£	2	٥	0	ΩS			٥	20	S				-	 	1	٤	2 0	5		1		-	-	QS	2			-	-	1	-	
QUAN MEDIUM		25,000	450	150	2	80					22	7	8	300	1,500			1,200	300	1,500				ŀ	- -	1	- 6	7	2		1	1	-	-	8	8			-	-	-	2	
QUAN		40,000	650	520	92	10					100	9	8	400	2,500			1,600	400	2,500				-	-	-	- 196	9	n		,		-	-	000	30			-	-	-	6	
ELEMENTS	SURVEILLANCE	Point Detection (loops)	CCTV Cameras	Video Image Proc'g\intx	Environ'l Sensors\area	HOV lane control &	monitoring equ't\ area	SUBTOTAL (\$K)	:	TRAVELER INFORMATION	Fixed CMS & Contrins	Fixed HAR & Contrirs	Hybrid CMS (arterials)	Ramp Meter sys (per interchange)	Signal Upgrades	SUBTOTAL (\$K)	COMMUNICATION	Callboxes	Fiber-Optic Cable\mi	Signal Communication	per intersection	SUBTOTAL (\$K)	COLD TOTAL	Company Made Company	Computers & Hardware	Soliware (various)	Facilities and Communication	O&M Personnel	ADDITIONAL IMCS	SUBTOTAL (\$K)	TRAVELER INFO CTR	Computers and Hardware	Software (various)	Facilities and Communication	Kiosks	O&M Personnel	SUBTOTAL (\$K)	TRANSIT MGT CTR	Computers and Hardware	Software (various)	Facilities and Communication	O&M Personnel	SUBTOTAL (\$K)

DRAFT

DRAFT

"COST ANALYSIS FOR INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE: LARGE, MEDIUM, AND SMALL METRO AREAS

LARGE MEDIUM SMALL	ē _	CAPITAL	LARGE	LARGE	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	SMALL	SMALL
	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)
1,200 100	0.32	6 30	630	12,600	378	7,560	32	0230
Ц			630	12,600	378	7,560	35	020
1	17.00	340.00	17	340	14	272	12	238
1	3.00	60.00	3	09	3	09	3	09
1	200.00	4,000 00	200	4,000	160	3,200	140	2,800
2 1	50.00	0.00	150	0	100	0	S _S	0
	_	1	370	4,400	277	3,532	202	3,098
		1						
\perp	3	000	04	990	86	750	q	150
2,500 500	0.02	0.30	8	086	30	067	0	OC!
		1	20	066	38	750	80	150
	95	9	200	000 6	85	1.050		
8	00.2	200	3	0001	2 2	200	3	046
e e	2.50	20.00	S.	one	G S	062	2 8	061
	06.1	30.00	40	004	30	006	30	חלים
30	20.00	0.00	2,000	0	006,1	0	Q.	0
		1	300.0	0300	089 ,	000	98	AEO
			2627	2,500	200'1	000'.		
1	0.00	5,400.00	0	5,400	0	4,320	0	3,780
			0	5,400	0	4,320	0	3,780
	1							
	147.00	73 00	4.410	2,190	1,470	730	0	0
5 0	48 00	70.00	720	1,050	240	350	٥	0
5	116 00	125.00	1,740	1,875	580	625	0	0
	2 00	16.00	150	480	20	160	٥	0
	5.00	16.00	150	480	50	160	o	0
10	7.00	130 00	140	2,600	02	1,300	0	0
			7,310	8,675	2,460	3,325	0	0
1 0	150.00	3,000.00	150	3,000	150	3,000	0	0
300 0	3.00	60.00	1,500	30,000	006	18,000	0	0
	00:00	16,000.00	0	16,000	0	009'6	0	0
0	4.00	80.00	4	980	4	80	0	0
	0.35	7.00	700	14,000	450	8,400	0	٥
	1.00	80.00	65	1,300	35	700	0	0
	1.38	27.50	825	16,500	950	11,000	0	0
08	1.22	24.40	122	2,440	96	1,952	0	0
1,000,000	00 0	0.01	1,000	20,000	500	10,000	0	٥
-				00000	2000		•	



*COST ANALYSIS FOR INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE: LARGE, MEDIUM, AND SMALL METRO AREAS

DRAFT

	OUAN	QUAN	QUAN	UNT COST	UNT COST	O&M COST	CAPITAL COST	O&M COST	CAPITAL COST	O&M COST	CAPITAL COST
ELEMENTS	LARGE	MEDIUM	SMALL	08M	CAPITAL	LARGE	LARGE	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	SMALL	SMALL
				(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$ K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(%K)	(36)	(ak)
INCITAL DER METRO AREA (SK)						43,662	420,167	25,628	277.907	3,896	40,753
						O&M LARGE	CAPITAL LARGE	D&M MEDIUM	CAPITAL LARGE D&M MEDIUM CAPITAL MEDIUM D&M SMALL CAPITAL SMALL	D&M SMALL	CAPITAL SMALL
NATIONWIDE TOTALS (\$K)											
I ARGE METRO AREAS (#)	75			43,662	420,167	3,274,658	31,512,525				
MEDI IM METRO AREAS (#)		125		25,628	277,907			3,203,510	34,738,325		
SMALL METRO AREAS (#)			200	3.896	40.753					779,234	8,150,680
TOTAL (\$K)						3,274,658	31,512,525	3,203,510	34,738,325	779,234	8,150,680
FOTAL NATIONAL CAP COST						74.4	74.4 BILLION				
TOTAL NATIONAL ORM COST						7.3	7.3 BILLION				